

1 **P O R T E R | S C O T T**

2 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

3 Carl L. Fessenden, SBN 161494

4 [cfessenden@porterscott.com](mailto:cfessenden@porterscott.com)

5 Matthew W. Gross, SBN 324007

6 [mgross@porterscott.com](mailto:mgross@porterscott.com)

7 2180 Harvard Street, Suite 500

8 Sacramento, California 95815

9 TEL: 916.929.1481

10 FAX: 916.927.3706

11 Attorneys for Defendants

12 *Exempt from Filing Fees Pursuant to Government Code § 6103*

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
14 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

15 ESTATE OF WILLIAM HENNEFER, JAH,  
16 JUH, WH, NH AND BIANCA HENNEFER,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 YUBA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA  
20 OPERATOR OF THE YUBA COUNTY  
21 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT SHERIFF  
22 WENDELL ANDERSON,  
23 UNDERSHERIFF NICHOLAS  
24 MORAWCZNSKI, CAPTAIN JOSEPH  
25 MILLION, LIEUTENANT BRANDON  
SPEAR, DEPUTY TENG SAECHAO,  
DETECTIVE ROGER TARWATER,  
DETECTIVE NATALIE MULLINS,  
DEPUTY RAYMON ELLIS, DEPUTY  
TYLER ECK, DEPUTY VALENTINO  
AGUIRRE, DEPUTY DALLAS THORPE,  
DEPUTY BRADON MALLORY AND  
DOES 1-15,

26 Defendants.

27 /  
28

1 CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00389-TLN-CSK  
2 **DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR**  
3 **ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF REQUESTING**  
4 **LEAVE FOR PAGE LIMIT INCREASE TO**  
5 **MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT;**  
6 **ORDER**

7 SAC: 8/12/24

8 FAC: 07/21/23

9 Complaint Filed: 02/27/22

10 Defendants file this motion for administrative relief and seek leave from the Court to file a ten

1 (10) page limit extension to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment which shall be filed by no later  
2 than January 23, 2025 pursuant to the Courts Scheduling Order.

3 Eastern District Local Rule 233 sets for the procedure for filing a motion for administrative  
4 relief:

- 5 (1) must be labeled as a motion for administrative relief;
- 6 (2) may not exceed 5 pages (excluding declarations and exhibits);
- 7 (3) must set forth specifically the action requested, the reasons supporting the request,  
8 and relevant background information (such as a description of any similar relief that has  
9 previously been granted);
- 10 (4) must be accompanied by a proposed order;
- 11 (5) must include a statement setting forth the position of all parties affected by the  
12 motion, or a statement explaining why such position could not be ascertained; and
- 13 (6) if manually filed, must be delivered with all attachments on all parties on the same  
14 day the motion is filed.

15 On August 12, 2024, Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint ("SAC"). (ECF 48)  
16 Plaintiffs' SAC brings 17 claims for relief against 13 individually named Defendants. Plaintiffs' SAC  
17 involves federal and state claims arising for a law enforcement traffic stop which resulted in a standoff  
18 and eventual death of William Hennefer.

19 Defendants seek a ten (10) page extension to file Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment  
20 because Plaintiffs' operative complaint contains 17 separate claims for relief—many of which are  
21 asserted against 13 Defendants in total. The claims against the individual Defendants involve separate  
22 and distinct actions over the traffic stop incident, multi-hour standoff, and eventual approach to the  
23 vehicle where William Hennefer was determined to be deceased. Defendants' Motion for Summary  
24 Judgment will need to address each of the separate claims and distinct actions from each of the  
25 Defendants. To sufficiently address the claims against each Defendant within the Motion for Summary  
26 Judgment, Defendants need an additional ten-page extension (for a total of 30 pages) to file the Motion  
27 for Summary Judgment. This is the first time Defendants have requested such relief. Furthermore, this  
28 Court's Standing Orders set for the requirements for seeking a page limit extension when filing a Motion  
for Summary Judgement.

1 On December 17, 2024, the parties had a phone call prior to the filing of the motion. Plaintiffs'  
2 counsel stated he did not oppose the request, and the parties agreed Plaintiffs should be provided the  
3 same 30 pages for any Opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment. The parties also discussed  
4 whether any deadline extensions would be provided for responding to the Motion for Summary  
5 Judgment, but no agreement has been reached at this point.

6  
7 Dated: December 23, 2024

PORTER SCOTT  
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

8  
9 By /s/Matthew W. Gross

10 Carl L. Fessenden  
11 Matthew W. Gross  
12 Attorneys for Defendants

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **ORDER**  
2

3 This Court hereby grants Defendants' motion for administrative relief and permits Defendants to  
4 file a ten (10) page limit extension to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. Defendants' Motion  
5 for Summary Judgment shall not exceed 30 pages. Plaintiff will be provided an opportunity to file a ten  
6 (10) page limit extension in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

7 Dated: December 23, 2024

8   
9 

---

Troy L. Nunley  
10 Chief United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28